

ArT studyboard Semesterevaluering

Semester evaluation, [For Danish version press the "flag-button" below]

Dear student

In the following you are requested to respond to a number of questions about your experience of the past semester. Most of the questions are responded to by check marks, but room has been reserved for comments which can elaborate on your responses or involve other aspects.

It is of great importance that you respond to the evaluation form as your responses contribute to:

- your own assessment of and benefits from the study activities of the semester
- the total semester/semi-annual evaluation, which the Study Board will discuss and use as a tool to continuously develop the study programme
- the university's aggregate knowledge about the quality of the studies and the students' commitment to these
- the fulfillment of external national and international requirements about regular evaluation of the study programmes.

Practical information:

- If you stop on the way, the responses you have given will be saved automatically.
 Later on, you can resume the responses by clicking on the link to the evaluation form once again.
- On the 'bar' under questions, you can currently see how far you are.
- You 'turn the pages' by means of the arrow at the bottom to the right, and in a similar way, you can go backwards and correct earlier responses by means of the arrow at the bottom to the left (except here on page 1).
- Your name does not appear from the data reports generated on the basis of the responses. The purpose is to identify general experiences among the students – not to find out who have responded what. However, the programme will automatically store your mail address together with your responses, so the evaluation cannot be said to be anonymous.
- A summary of the quantitative responses will be located on the website of the study programme – always without being able to identify the individual student's responses.

You can fill out the questionnaire in just 15 minutes.

Thanks in advance for your contribution.

Yours sincerely Aalborg University – the ArT Study Board

Which sem (1) ☐ ArT (2) ☐ ArT (3) ☐ ArT (4) ☐ ArT (5) ☐ ArT	1 2 3 4	you com	pleting ?	,				
Targets	for lear	ning o	utcom	ne				
Many of the to estimate where 1 = 't possibility o	to what ext	ent you a gree', and	agree. Th d 5 = 'tota	e resporally agree	nse is ched e'. Besides	cked off on	a scale fr	om 1 – 5
Course ob	jectives							
			1 Totally disagree	2	3	4	5 Totally agree	No basis for evaluation
At each modu programme cla students abou module	early informed	d the	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
I studied the let		ives for	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Comments or	n the targets	and/or the	e communi	ication of	these: Plea	se specify m	odule, if re	levant
Performance How many ho		_		-	our studies 34-40	this semes	ter? 48-54	55 or more
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Comments on initiatives from relevant.		fort (or stud						ions for future
Project	work							
I prepared a p		articipated	l in prepari	ing a proj	ect this sem	ester		



ArT studyboard Semesterevaluering

(2)	□ No					Semes	sterevaluerinç
projec	e, you prepared more than one pet is responded to on the basis of or if you don't know which was tot'.	of the larges	t of these pr	ojects (in E0	CTS points).	If they were	equally
(1) (2) (3)	Dared the project together with ☐ No, individually ☐ Yes, in a group of 2-3 pe ☐ Yes, in a group of 4 or m	rsons					
Grou	p cooperation						
		1 Totally disagree	2	3	4	5 Totally agree	No basis for evaluation
	roup formation process eded appropriately	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
good	ooperation of the group was and contributed to achiving the ng objectives	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Comi	ments on the group cooperation	on:					
Solo	work						
29) W	/hy did you work on your own	?					
Exter	nal partners						
	the project prepared in cooperation the project prepared in cooperation on your. Yes No			ner? (The qı	uestion inclu	des both pr	ojects 'ordered'
	nents on the cooperation with thull ularly instructive or suggestions						found

Supervisor (s)

There was adequate support in the supervision in relation to... 2 3 4 Totally Totally disagree agree ... the project methods and (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) work process ... the academic content (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) ... the practical aspects Who was your project supervisor? ☐ Ann Morison ☐ Betty Li Malvang Meldgaard (6) ☐ Carsten Friberg (11) ☐ Elizabeth Ann Jochum (9) (3) ☐ Falk Heinrich ☐ Jakob Borrits Sabra (7) ☐ Lance Putnam (8) ☐ Lars Knudsen (10) ☐ Line Marie Bruun Jespersen (4) ■ Morten Søndergaard (2) ☐ Ståle Stenslie (1) Comments on the project supervisor(s) and project work: Problem-based learning (PBL) (for 1. semester students) In the semester I experienced the problem-based and project-oriented approach to teaching as conducive to the development of...

	1 Totally disagree	2	3	4	5 Totally agree	No basis for evaluation
my ability to define and analyze problems	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
my ability to generate project ideas	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
my ability to plan a long work process and reach the goal in time	(1) 🗖	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)

Courses/lectures/seminars

The questions in this section deal with the courses/lectures/seminars which you have participated in during the semester. Specific evaluation of the individual courses is dealt with by those responsible for the courses, so in this form, it is rather the intention to get an overview of how you have experienced the teaching in general this semester.



ArT studyboard Semesterevaluering

Syllabus									
	ch of the syl						-	-	00.4000/
0-10%	10-20%	20-30%	30-40%	40-50%	50-60%	60-70%	70-80%	80-90%	90-100%
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
(1)	3 4 5 Totally a No basis fo	sagree gree		urses/le	ctures/se	eminars			
Some r work w (2)	ojects/wo modules c ith smalle 1 Very pos 2 positive 3 average 4 negative 5 very neg No basis fo	ontain mer groups itive		cts, whic	ch in mos	st cases ı	equires	individu	al work or
Comme	ents:								
How do y	on of learnin you evaluate ge, practica	your learı	ning outco				ties (for ins	stance in t	erms of
			Ve lo		low	high			o basis for valuation
Courses/ seminars	lectures/ /workshops		(1)		(3)	(4)	(5)		(6)
Project w	ork,		(1)		(3)	(4)	(5)		(6)
Practical defined)	exercises/tra	iining (broa	idly (1)		(3)	(4)	(5)		(6)

Comments including considerations whether there are elements of the study that could be further
developed to increase your learning outcome: (Please speficy courses, if relevant)

Planning, information, and framework 2 3 4 No basis Totally Totally for disagree agree evaluation I felt well informed about semester activities, including syllabi and planning of the (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) semester activities was satisfactory The planning of the semester activities was satisfactory (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (e.g. placing and length of the study activities) The extent of study activities initiated by the (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) study programme was adequate The examination plans were announced to the students in (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) sufficiently good time The information about practical conditions (cancellations, classroom (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) changes, mandatory books, enrolment deadlines, etc.) was adequate. Information Throughout the semester I felt well informed about... 5 2 3 No basis Totally Totally disagree agree evaluation extraordinary events relevant for study work (for instance relevant guest lectures, (1) (2) (3) (4) (6) (5) inaugural lectures, PhDdefenses, debate meetings). ... political conditions including the study board's work (student (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) representatives, meeting times, agendas, etc.)



ArT studyboard Semesterevaluering

	1 Totally disagree	2	3	4	5 Totally agree	No basis for evaluation			
social activities in connection with the university (Friday bar, student parties etc.)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)			
Framework									
	1 Totally disagree	2	3	4	5 Totally agree	No basis for evaluation			
The physical framework for teaching was good (classrooms, computers, AV-equipment, laboratories, etc.)	(1) 🗖	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)			
IT-facilities and services were good (for instance intranet/electronic conferences/newsgroups, home access to relevant materials and homepages, etc.)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)			
Moodle worked well	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)			
socially, the semester/group worked very well	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)			
Comments, including suggestions for further development of the semester (apart from those which you have already mentioned in the evaluation form):									

Your answers have been registered

Thank you!